



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/487,729	01/19/2000	Baik-hee Han	Q57577	3502
75	90 11/17/2003		EXAM	INER
	Zinn MacPeak & Sea	NATNAEL, PAULOS M		
	nia Avenue NW C 20037-3202		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2614	
			DATE MAN ED. 11/13/00	. 15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s) ·				
Advisory Action	09/487,729	HAN, BAIK-HEE				
, . 	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paulos M. Natnael	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the contract which the contract which are the contract	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(\subseteq \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5,7 and 8.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\hfill \square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.			
$9. \boxtimes$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	<u>5,8</u> .				
10. ☐ Other:	P	MICHAEL H. LEE RIMARY EXAMINER				



(1) Examiner regrets the inadvertent use of 35 USC 102 (e) instead of 35 USC 102 (b), as Applicant correctly pointed out, since both references used to reject the claims have publication dates more than one year earlier than the filing data of the present applicantion.

(2) Applicant argues that the Examiner is taking the sentence on col. 4, lines 3-8 of Tsukagoshi out of context. However, Examiner submits the quoted passage is clear and unambiguous. Tsukagoshi discloses a channel selecting apparatus and method used in a television receiving apparatus and capable of memorizing channel data(see title). Further Tsukagoshi discloses "when a judging signal showing that a broadcast signal is included in a receiving channel is input from the judging circuit, that channel will be received. (Abstract)

Tsukagoshi discloses a tuner 2 controlled by channel selecting microcomputer 3 which includes a RAM 9 within. The microcomputer receives input from the input apparatus 8. Tsukagoshi clearly and unambiguously teaches that "In this case, the channel selecting microcomputer 3 will judge the respective receiving channels by the judging signal from the synchronizing circuit 7 as to whether they ar signal channels or no-signal channels and will have the RAM 9 memorize the data showing the channel numbers of the signal channels. (col. 4, lines 2-8) It is clear from the above the reference of Tsukagoshi teaches that the channel data received from the input apparatus is stored in RAM 9, while the microcomputer controls the tuner according to the designated channel by the user. (see col. 3, lines 64-66) Therefore, the Examiner submits that the quoted passage from the reference was is not merely a single useless sentence, but a clear teaching that the microcomputer determines or judges whether or not a broadcasting signal has been received. Therefore, the argumen that the Tsukagoshi fails to disclose or suggest "that when a controller receives a channel number output from the key input and controls a tuner to tune a broadcasting channel corresponding to the received channel number," is not persuasive.

Toyoshima et al. discloses a TV receiver for receiving a broadcast signal and station information. Fig. 2 of Toyoshima et al. discloses a procedure for channel setting and analysis. A control microcomputer 8 controls the operation of the receiver. The system includes a RF signal receiver 1, channel select 2, and IR signal receiving unit 7. Specifically, Toyoshima et al. teaches that "the channel selection is performed in accordance with the channel number which is shown by the counter, at the succeeding step SP3...the CPU 8 writes the information of the information signal SG along with the channel number to the memory 9..." (col. 3, line 53-64) Fig. 2 clearly illustrates that a start channel setting, selects channel and judges or determines if the channel is an active channel and if so writes the information in memory. Furthermore, Toyoshima discloses that the channel selection is performed in accordance with the channel number which is shown by the counter, at the succeeding step SP3. An AFT signal etc. of the received signal is monitored, and the presence of a signal S(in other words, whether it is an active channel or not) is judged at the step SP4. If an affirmative result is obtained at SP4 tile, CPU 8 proceeds to the succeeding step SP5 and receives the information signal SG from the information signal analyzing circuit 5. At step SP6, the CPU 8 writes the information of the information signal SG along with the channel number to the memory 9, and then proceeds to the succeeding step SP7. (col. 3, lines 47-64) The reference of Toyoshima et al. therefore teaches that the system controlled by the Micro Computer determines whether the broadcasting signal is present in the tuned broadcasting channel, (i.e., whether it is an active channel or not) and stores the channel number in memory.